Reply to Office Action dated: April 1, 2008

**REMARKS** 

Pending Claims

Claims 6-17, 23-34, 40-51, 57-68, 74-83, 87-94, 98-105, and 109-116 are pending.

Claims 1-5, 18-22, 35-39, 52-56, 69-73, 84-86, 95-97, and 106-108 have been cancelled without

prejudice. Claims 11, 17, 28, 34, 45, 51, 62, 68, 78, 83, 90, 94, 98, 102, 109, and 113 have been

amended.

Information Disclosure Statement

The Examiner has not considered the First Office Action issued in Chinese Patent

Application No. 200310118628.3, dated May 12, 2006 (with English translation), that Applicant

disclosed in the June 14, 2006, Information Disclosure Statement. The Examiner drew a line

through this entry and wrote "missing pages" below the entry. However, Applicant has reviewed

the Chinese Office action and English translation thereof on Private PAIR (labeled as an NPL

Document and dated 06-16-2006) and it appears that all of the pages are present. Therefore,

Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner either acknowledge consideration of the

Chinese Office action IDS submission or provide a further explanation of which pages are

allegedly missing.

Amendments to the Claims and Specification

Claims 11, 17, 28, 34, 45, 51, 62, 68, 78, 83, 90, and 94 have been amended to change the

phrase "can be" to "is". Claims 98, 102, 109, and 113 have been amended to add the phrase

"computer-readable storage medium storing therein a ...."

The title has been amended to read: "METHOD OF ISSUING AN INTERIM MAIL

ADDRESS AND SYSTEM FOR DOING THE SAME".

No new matter has been added by any of the amendments.

-24 -

Reply to Office Action dated: April 1, 2008

Objection to the Specification

The Examiner has objected to the specification for not having a sufficiently descriptive

title. Applicant has amended the title to make it more descriptive of the invention. Accordingly,

Applicant respectfully requests that the objection be reconsidered and withdrawn.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 101

Claims 95-116 under 35 U.S.C. § 101 stand rejected as being directed to non-statutory

subject matter. Claims 95-97 and 106-108 have been cancelled and thus the rejection of these

claims is rendered moot. Independent claims 98, 102, 109, and 113 have been amended to recite

"A computer-readable storage medium storing therein a program ... ." Accordingly, Applicants

submit that the rejection has been overcome. Applicants respectfully request that the rejection be

reconsidered and withdrawn.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph

The Examiner has rejected claims 5, 11, and other claims with the phrase "can be" under

35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and

distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants regard as the invention. However, as the

claims containing "can be" have been either canceled or amended to instead recite "is",

Applicants respectfully submit that the rejection has been overcome. Accordingly, Applicants

respectfully request that the rejection be reconsidered and withdrawn.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1-116 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Beyda

(2003/0233415), Wittke et al. (2004/0059705), Hall (2004/0205173), or Kageyama

(2003/0120656). Claims 1-5, 18-22, 35-39, 52-56, 69-73, 84-86, 95-97, and 106-108 have been

cancelled without prejudice and thus the rejection of these claims is rendered moot. However,

since none of the references discloses all of the elements of the invention as recited in claims 6-

17, 23-34, 40-51, 57-68, 74-83, 87-94, 98-105, and 109-116, Applicant respectfully traverses.

-25 -

Reply to Office Action dated: April 1, 2008

A feature of the present invention is that the mail-address recording table includes a mail-address of a user, a mail-address of a mail-receiver, and an interim address in a set. The mail-address recording table in the present invention makes it possible for a user to have an interim mail address for each mail-receiver. This has the advantage to the user that if an interim mail address is leaked with the result of receipt of a lot of junk mails, the rest of the interim mail addresses are not influenced by the leaked interim mail address.

The cited references fail to disclose or suggest a mail-address recording table including a mail-address of a user, a mail-address of a mail-receiver, and an interim address in a set. Accordingly, the cited references cannot provide such an advantage as mentioned above. Thus, the present invention is not anticipated by the cited references.

Reply to Office Action dated: April 1, 2008

**CONCLUSION** 

In view of the remarks and amendments presented herein, reconsideration and withdrawal

of the pending rejections and allowance of the claims are respectfully requested. The Examiner

is strongly encouraged to contact the undersigned at the phone number below should any issues

remain with respect to the application.

No fees are believed due in connection with this response. However if any fees are owed,

please charge such fees to Deposit Account No. 50-1965

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June 17, 2008

Thomas J. Keating, Reg. No. 59,110

Tel.: (608) 257-3501

MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH LLP

180 North Stetson Avenue, Suite 2000

Chicago, Illinois 60601 Phone: (312) 222-0800 Fax: (312) 222-0818

S:\CLIENT\205105\9002\B1421132.0